



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov  
DOW 3-06

JANE T. GUNNISON, ESQ.  
C/O FISH & NEAVE  
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK NY 10020

COPY MAILED

JUL 19 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :  
Pines et al. :  
Application No. 10/070,692 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: 24 June, 2002 :  
Atty Docket No. COLL/012 :

This is a decision on the petition under 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> filed on 12 May, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

This application became abandoned on 1 November, 2005, for failure to timely file an appeal brief in response to the Notice of Appeal filed on 31 August, 2005, which set a two (2) month shortened period for reply. No extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. The filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment.

---

<sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114.

In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Petitioner requests that the application be revived for copendency with a concurrently-filed continuing application. A review of Office PALM records reveals that continuation application No. 11/433,639 was filed on 12 May, 2006.

Since this application is revived for purposes of continuity only with continuing Application No. 11/433,639, filed on 12 May, 2006, and since continuity has been established by this decision reviving the application, the application is again abandoned in favor of the above-referenced application.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply.<sup>2</sup> The three-month extension request filed on 12 May, 2006, was submitted more than five (5) months after the end of the period for reply to the Notice of Appeal filed on 31 August, 2005, and therefore is unnecessary. The extension of time fee will be credited to counsel's deposit account as authorized.

The application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1614 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

---

<sup>2</sup> See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988).